

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

BRIAN R. HAUSER  
JUDGE


PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85003

PHONE  
(602) 506-6086

MEMORANDUM

To: Honorable Robert Myers  
From: Brian R. Hauser *BRH*  
Re: Anant Tripati, CV 93-02204  
Date: December 12, 1996

*File: Veritron litigation  
copy to Judge  
Skelly.  
Rush*



I consider both of these filings to be frivolous and intended to cause me to recuse myself from his civil case. I do not intend to gratify Mr. Tripati by taking that action.


Please contact me if you have any questions regarding these matters.

cc: Hon. Roger Kaufman w/enc.

RECEIVED

DEC 20 1996

CLERK OF COURT  
CHRISTOPHER W. SKELLY



SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

007

CLERK OF THE COURT

November 21, 1996

HON. BRIAN R. HAUSER (CVJ-12)

P. Thompson  
Deputy

CV 93-02204

FILED: NOV 22 1996

ANANT KUMAR TRIPATI

Anant Kumar Tripati  
#102081  
Arizona State Prison  
P.O. Box 8200  
Florence, AZ 85232

v.

ROBERT F. GIRDLEY, et al

James J. Farley


Judge Jonathan H. Schwartz  
CR 92-08576, CR 92-09620

Carolyn Price  
417 Williams  
Arlington, Texas 76010

The Court has considered the following pleadings filed by Plaintiff in propria persona:

1. Request to correct and withdraw Motion for Sanctions.
2. Compliance with order dated August 22, 1996, requesting entry of judgment against Defendant Girdley.
3. Stipulation dismissing Defendant Jones with prejudice.
4. Objection to Judge Brian Hauser having ex parte discussions.

On or about August 22, 1996, the Court's judicial assistant, at the Court's request, contacted counsel for Defendant Girdley to confirm an earlier received letter from Defendant Girdley that Plaintiff's claim was the subject of Defendant Girdley's bankruptcy proceeding. The fact of the bankruptcy



4

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY



CLERK OF THE COURT

November 21, 1996

HON. BRIAN R. HAUSER (CVJ-12)

P. Thompson  
Deputy

№ CV 93-02204

TRIPATI V. GIRDLEY

Continued

proceeding was confirmed by Ms. Carolyn Price, Esq., to the judicial assistant. The Court itself has had no communication with any party or counsel in this case. Telephonic communication by Court staff solely to confirm the existence of bankruptcy proceedings is not uncommon and it does not, in the Court's view, constitute ex parte communications.

Because of the pendency of Defendant Girdley's bankruptcy proceeding, matters numbered one, two and four above, are stayed until a lift stay is obtained by Plaintiff from the Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Texas in case number 95-40059, filed January 9, 1995.

As to number three, above, the stipulation to dismiss and order involving Defendant Jones requests the Court to enter findings of fact and conclusions of law which appear to involve matters which are the subject of the criminal prosecution that led to Plaintiff's conviction and incarceration. The Court will not put its imprimatur on the statements of fact contained in the lodged document.

IT IS ORDERED dismissing this matter against Defendant Helen Maureen Jones with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs and expenses.

/s/ JUDGE BRIAN R. HAUSER

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE BRIAN R. HAUSER